Integrated Analysis of RNA and DNA from the Phase III Trial CALGB 40601 Identifies Predictors of Response to Trastuzumab-Based Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in HER2-Positive Breast Cancer


Abstract

Purpose: Response to a complex trastuzumab-based regimen is affected by multiple features of the tumor and its microenvironment. Developing a predictive algorithm is key to optimizing HER2-targeting therapy.

Experimental Design: We analyzed 137 pretreatment tumors with mRNA-seq and DNA exome sequencing from CALGB 40601, a neoadjuvant phase III trial of paclitaxel plus trastuzumab with or without lapatinib in stage II to III HER2-positive breast cancer. We adopted an Elastic Net regularized regression approach that controls for covarying features within high-dimensional data. First, we applied 517 known gene expression signatures to develop an Elastic Net model to predict pCR, which we validated on 143 samples from four independent trials. Next, we performed integrative analyses incorporating clinicopathologic information with somatic mutation status, DNA copy number alterations (CNA), and gene signatures.

Results: The Elastic Net model using only gene signatures predicted pCR in the validation sets (AUC = 0.76). Integrative analyses showed that models containing gene signatures, clinical features, and DNA information were better pCR predictors than models containing a single data type. Frequently selected variables from the multiplatform models included amplifications of chromosome 6p, TP53 mutation, HER2-enriched subtype, and immune signatures. Variables predicting resistance included Luminal/ER+ features.

Conclusions: Models using RNA only, as well as integrated RNA and DNA models, can predict pCR with improved accuracy over clinical variables. Somatic DNA alterations (mutation, CNAs), tumor molecular subtype (HER2E, Luminal), and the microenvironment (immune cells) were independent predictors of response to trastuzumab and paclitaxel-based regimens. This highlights the complexity of predicting response in HER2-positive breast cancer.
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Introduction

HER2 is overexpressed in approximately 25% of breast cancers. The anti-HER2 antibody trastuzumab reduces mortality in stage I to III disease by 37% when combined with adjuvant chemotherapy. However, approximately one-fourth of these patients experience recurrence within 10 years and ultimately succumb to their disease (1). Additional HER2-targeting drugs including lapatinib (2), pertuzumab (3), and neratinib (4) have been tested in combination with or following trastuzumab in patients with stage I to III HER2-positive breast cancer, with variable impacts on disease-free survival in terms of statistical significance but all with modest (less than 3%) absolute effects. These results clearly highlight our need to identify those in whom additional therapy is warranted. The MINDACT and similar trials suggest that genomic classifiers may help identify patients with HER2-negative disease who may be treated with less aggressive regimens (5). The identification of a biologic classifier for tailoring therapy in HER2-positive disease would also be very valuable.
Integrated Response Models in HER2-Positive Breast Cancer

Translational Relevance

Response to the increasingly complex trastuzumab-based regimens used in women with HER2-positive breast cancer is affected by multiple clinical and genomic features including IHC ER positivity, immune cell signatures, and molecular intrinsic subtype. Developing an integrated prediction model of pathologic complete response (pCR) using multidimensional genomic data could be key to optimizing HER2-targeting therapy. Here, we applied 517 known gene expression signatures to develop an Elastic Net model with high predictive capability for pCR, which we validated in four independent clinical trials. The model included HER2-enriched subtype, immune cell, and Luminal/ER features. We further performed integrated analyses incorporating clinicopathologic information with somatic mutation status, DNA copy number alterations (CNA), and found similar expression features and DNA amplifications of chromosome 6p as strong predictors of pCR. This highlights the complexity of predicting response and suggests that optimal models to predict response may require multiple data types in addition to the standard clinical features.

It is equally true that HER2-positive breast cancer is highly molecularly heterogeneous. CALGB 40601 (6), and the similar trial NeoALTTO (7), have revealed that gene expression signatures of ESR1 and HER2, molecular intrinsic subtype, and immune cell activation are associated with pathologic complete response (pCR). Several molecular alterations are thought to contribute to trastuzumab resistance, including PIK3CA mutation (6, 8, 9), PTEN loss (10, 11), and TP53 mutation (12, 13), but these possible biomarkers have been inconsistent. In NeoALTTO, mutations in the RhoA pathway were associated with response, which has not yet been further examined (14). In addition to tumor influences, immune cell gene expression has been independently associated with pCR (6, 7), and in retrospective/prospective trials, tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL) have been predictive of trastuzumab benefit (15, 16). Currently, HER2 overexpression and/or amplification remains the only clinically validated marker to select patients for anti-HER2 therapies.

A number of studies including The Cancer Genome Atlas (17, 18) have produced a wealth of genomic data and described disease mechanisms. However, there are still two major challenges when using clinical trial samples: First, most research studies characterize a genomic feature type, such as gene expression, mutation, or copy number, and there are few capable of integrating disparate data types that reflect the continuum of cancer biology and are simultaneously able to address clinical outcomes. Second, because these studies did not utilize samples from prospective clinical trials with prespecified endpoints, they are poorly suited to identify or validate novel predictive biomarkers.

In contrast, in this study we utilized two computational approaches of integrative data analysis, namely Elastic Net and DawnRank, using the samples obtained from Cancer and Leukemia Group B (CALGB) 40601 (6), a prospective phase III trial of neoadjuvant chemotherapy with trastuzumab, lapatinib or both.

In this analysis, we first developed an Elastic Net model from gene expression data and applied the model onto four different validation datasets. In addition, after combining mutation, DNA CNAs, and gene expression data with known clinical features, we developed objective computational models to identify important determinants of response to trastuzumab-based therapy. Our goal was to develop an accurate predictor of response, and at the same time, to learn more about the biology of therapeutic response in HER2-positive breast tumors.

Materials and Methods

CALGB 40601 study design and patients

The study design and clinical results have been previously published (6); CALGB 40601 is now part of the Alliance for Clinical Trials in Oncology. A total of 305 women with stage II to III HER2-positive disease were randomized to receive paclitaxel (T) at 80 mg/m² weekly for 16 weeks, with trastuzumab (H, 4 mg/kg loading dose followed by two mg/kg), lapatinib (L, 1,500 mg/day), or both (L at 1,000 mg/day plus the same dose of H) for 16 weeks. The TL arm was closed early based on reports of inferiority and greater toxicity; given that single agent lapatinib is not a clinically relevant treatment and the mechanism of action differs systematically from conventional H or H+L-based therapy, the TL arm was excluded from this analysis. The primary endpoint was pCR, defined as no invasive tumor in the breast, which is a surrogate endpoint of survival in HER2-positive breast cancer (19).

Tumor genomic methods

Participants underwent four pretreatment 16-gauge core biopsies: two cores were placed into RNA stabilization product (RNA-Later; Qiagen), and two were placed into 10% neutral buffered formalin. CALGB 40601 enrolled 305 patients. Fig. 1A shows the CONSORT diagram for the subset studied here on the genomic level. We eliminated from analysis those patients in whom the RNA or DNA quality was inadequate, those treated on the non-trastuzumab arm (TL), and those with Normal-like intrinsic subtype, which consists mostly of normal tissues. The final training set consisted of 137 patient samples from TH (n = 68) and THL (n = 69) arms; all received trastuzumab–paclitaxel regimens. All 137 patients signed an IRB-approved, protocol-specific informed consent document in accordance with federal and institutional guidelines. This document included consent for the use of RNA and DNA; the consent also covered future biomarker research. DNA exome sequencing was performed at McDonnell Genome Institute (Washington University) and RNA-seq was performed at the UNC High Throughput Sequencing Facility (University of North Carolina). The patient and tumor characteristics of the included samples did not differ significantly from the total dataset (N = 285) including stage, hormonal receptor status, and pCR rates (data not shown).

Gene expression and signatures

Gene expression profiles were generated by mRNA-sequencing using an Illumina HiSeq 2000 as described in Ciriello and colleagues (17). Briefly, mRNAseq libraries were made from total RNA using the Illumina TruSeq mRNA sample preparation kit and sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 using a 2 × 50bp configuration with an average of 136 million reads per sample. Quality-control-passed reads
were aligned to the human reference genome (hg19) using MapSplice (20). The alignment profile was determined by Picard Tools v1.64 (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/). Aligned reads were sorted and indexed using SAMtools and translated to transcriptome coordinates then filtered for indels, large inserts, and zero mapping quality using UBU v1.0 (https://github.com/mozack/ubu). Transcript abundance estimates for each sample were performed using RSEM, an expectation-maximization algorithm (21) using the UCSC knownGene transcript and gene definitions. Raw RSEM read counts for all mRNAseq samples were normalized to a fixed upper quartile.

Next, PAM50 subtyping was applied to the gene expression data using a two-step normalization process based on the TCGA (17) cohort as previously described (6). We next applied a collection of 517 gene expression signatures, representing
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Figure 1. CONSORT diagram of patient selection and characteristics. 

A, Sample flow chart to show how samples were selected. Starting with 305 patients, specimens were removed for multiple reasons including incomplete clinical data, low RNA yields, a normal-like nontumor expression profile, being part of the TL = lapatinib and paclitaxel arm, thus leaving 203 patients. Of these, 137 had DNA exomes results, with this final 137 sample set also being split into a training and test set. 

B, Clinical and intrinsic expression subtype characteristics with pCR rates using the 137 patient data set. P values were calculated by Chi-square test. TH, trastuzumab and paclitaxel arm; THL, trastuzumab, lapatinib, and paclitaxel arm; ER, estrogen receptor; PgR, progesterone receptor.
multiple biological pathways and cell types, to all 137 samples. These 517 signatures (all published) were obtained from 73 publications or Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA; ref. 22) and partially summarized by Fan and colleagues (ref. 23; see Supplementary Table S1 for the complete list of signatures and their associated references). Using the combined normalized data set with the TCGA data, we applied each signature to the data set in a manner consistent with their derivation. For 478 signatures with homogenous expression across genes within a given set, these represent coordinately regulated sets of either “up” genes, or sets of “down” genes. All the genes were moving in the same direction, therefore we took the median expression value for all genes in a signature. For 39 signatures where gene expression patterns were not homogenous, we calculated correlations to predetermined centroids using previously published training datasets/centroids, or used predetermined special algorithms following their original methods.

**Mutation data**

We performed hybrid capture exome sequencing on 137 of the tumors (Nimblegen v3.0 SeqCap reagent) and matched peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) sequenced to average 100× depth coverage using paired-end 2 × 100bp. Raw sequences were aligned using the BWA-mem algorithm, and refined using our Assembly Based Re-Alignment (ABRA; ref. 24) process to allow for accurate alignment of complex sequence variation. Somatic mutation detection was performed by integrated whole DNA exome and mRNAseq using the UNCexR analytic tool as previously described (25, 26).

**Copy number variants**

Copy number variation across the genome was determined as follows: The sequence reads were aligned to the genome (hg 19) using the bwa-mem algorithm (https://github.com/lh3/bwa; v0.7.4) with the default parameters. Duplicates were removed using Picard (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/). Quality statistics were also generated with Picard including measures of fragment length, sequence content, alignment, capture bias and efficiency, coverage, and variant call metrics. Copy number assessments were performed using SynthEx (27). In brief, counts data for fixed 100kb bins were generated using BEDTools (28). The read ratios were calculated using the "synthetic normal" strategy described in SynthEx. A trend filtering procedure was applied to segment the genome. The segment-level copy number values, which are the log2 ratios of normalized signal intensities between tumor and controls, were finally corrected by purity and ploidy estimates from SynthEx, taking whole genome doubling into account for these values. These segment-level values were changed into gene-level values using SwitchPlus (29), and then we re-calculated the values for 536 predetermined cancer-specific segments (Supplementary Table S2) that are frequently altered in multiple types of cancer including breast cancers (30, 31); we also calculated chromosome arm based values and included these as features (48 segments). DNA Copy number values derived from exome sequencing were compared with those from SNP6.0 among the TCGA samples (17) with ploidy 1.75 to 2.5, then the thresholds for gain or loss from exome-derived SynthEx values were determined as 0.25 or −0.32, respectively (27); we applied these thresholds to copy number values on the CALGB 40601 samples to call gained and lost segments.

The complete list of DNA mutation somatic variants, DNA copy number segment, and gene expression values from CALGB 40601 samples are provided in Supplementary Data Files S1 to S3. The accession number for the RNAseq data for CALGB 40601 is GSE116335. Exome data for CALGB 40601 cohort is available via the NCBI dbGAP repository under accession number phs001570.v1.

**Statistical analyses**

All statistical analyses were performed using R version 3.1.2. All analyses were based on the study database frozen on January 29, 2016.

**Elastic Net analysis**

For feature selection using a multivariate modeling approach, we used Elastic Net (R package glmnet; ref. 32), which is a regularized regression method that linearly combines the L1 and L2 penalties of the Ridge Regression and Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator (LASSO; ref. 33). Monte-Carlo cross-validation (R package caret; ref. 34) was conducted using 200 different training sets randomly selected from the 137 sample training set. Models were built to predict pCR in the training set, selecting lambda values over a grid of a values from 0.1 to 1 by 0.1 increments via 10-fold cross-validation (R package glmnet; ref. 35). Then we calculated accuracy, which equals (sensitivity + specificity)/2, for each parameter combination. We identified the optimal parameter combination with the highest accuracy during cross-validation, and applied this to the final model using the best parameter combination chosen in the test sets. We constructed ROC curves and evaluated area under ROC (AUC). The variables with a high or low (negative) coefficient value would be associated with response or resistance to trastuzumab-containing therapy, respectively.

There were two purposes for the Elastic Net analyses, namely model-building and biological discovery through feature selection. First, we wished to evaluate the robustness of the Elastic Net model and use of this approach for pCR prediction. We developed the predictive model using 137 tumors from the CALGB 40601 training set and validated it using gene expression datasets from an independent group of 143 patients who participated in four clinical trials of neoadjuvant chemotherapy plus trastuzumab for patients with HER2-positive breast cancer on whom high-quality gene expression data were available. These included 43 patients in CHERLOB (8) who received anthracycline, taxane, and trastuzumab, with or without lapatinib; 24 patients in XENA (36) who received capecitabine, taxane, and trastuzumab; 10 patients in I-SPY1 (37) who received anthracycline, taxane, and trastuzumab; and 66 patients from the CALGB 40601 independent validation set who received taxane and trastuzumab with or without lapatinib, but who were not included in the training set (because the training set was limited to those with RNA and DNA genomics). All the patients received at least trastuzumab and taxane. Supplementary Fig. S1A diagrams the overall process of the Elastic Net model development and evaluation. In more detail, the gene signature data of all 137 samples from the CALGB 40601 training set were used as the training dataset to construct a model for an expression-only pCR prediction. This model was applied to CHERLOB, XENA, I-SPY, the CALGB 40601 independent validation set and all four test sets combined to construct ROC curves and evaluate AUC.
Second, in order to identify important and novel features contributing to sensitivity and resistance using a multidimensional approach, we investigated combining mutation, DNA copy number, and gene expression data with known clinical features, and then used all of these features for Elastic Net model building. Mutation and CNAs were used as dichotomous and continuous variables, respectively. By balancing for clinico-pathological features, the samples were divided into a training and a test set (R package sampling), then Monte-Carlo cross-validation was conducted using 200 different training sets randomly selected from the larger training set. We developed a set of integrated Elastic Net regression models to predict pCR, varying the features used as input. Because this component of the study was limited to available samples with both RNA and DNA data, which limited sample size, the Elastic Net was performed using 10 rounds of training and testing. The most frequently selected features in the models were identified in order to find reproducible predictive features (Supplementary Fig. S1B).

Survival analysis on METABRIC samples

To address the behavior of these models in HER2-positive tumors that did not receive trastuzumab or other HER2-targeted agents, thereby eliminating variables unrelated to HER2-directed therapy, the Elastic Net gene expression-only models from CALGB 40601 were applied onto 216 HER2-positive tumors from the METABRIC (38) dataset from the pre-trastuzumab era. Among the 216 patients studied, 124 did not receive either chemotherapy or HER2-targeting, and 92 received chemotherapy without trastuzumab. The median follow-up period was 7.24 (0.15–26.90) years. The patients were classified into three groups according to the scores derived from the expression only Elastic Net model, and overall survival was assessed by the Kaplan–Meier method.

DawnRank analysis

We used DawnRank (39), a novel computational method that uses within-tumor integrated analyses based upon predetermined protein–protein interaction networks, then populated by patient specific tumor gene expression values, and DNA aberrations, in order to identify those genes with DNA aberrations that have the greatest expression impact on the predefined networks; these DawnRank scores are calculated on individual patients, then aggregated based upon groups of patients, to find individual genes involved in response to trastuzumab-containing therapies. Using the DawnRank predefined protein–protein interaction networks, we populated this network with mRNA gene expression data for each patient and calculated a score for each gene based upon the expression of the genes directly connected to it in the network. The DawnRank score(s) depend on the three parameters: predetermined protein–protein interaction networks, gene expression values for each patient, and a “damping factor” that represents the extent to which the ranking depends on the structure of the network. These three parameters along with DNA alteration status (i.e., mutation, amplification, or deletion) form the key components to determine “drivers” in individual samples. Log2 transformed normalized mRNASeq gene expression data were median-centered for each gene among 137 CALGB 40601 samples, and further transformed to absolute value scores. Dawnrank was then run for each tumor with a mu = 3, which is the suggested default setting. The genes were then ranked according to the Dawnrank scores.

We then generated a binary matrix of 0 indicating no alteration and 1 indicating any DNA alteration for each gene, and examined somatically altered genes (DNA mutations and/or DNA alterations as described above) by applying DawnRank to the samples with pCR versus those without pCR according to the “percentrank” analysis mode, which aggregates the DawnRank results across a predefined set of samples/patients in order to find drivers based upon groups of patients (i.e., those with a pCR). Briefly, DawnRank applies a modified version of the Condorcet method (40), which is a voting scheme selecting a winning candidate gene by comparing every possible pair of candidate genes. Therefore, a pair of candidate genes A and B are compared by the number of alterations in gene A that had higher Dawnrank scores than gene B.

Additional Genomic Analyses

The association between pCR status and the clinico-pathologic variables, or mutated genes, were investigated using Fisher’s Exact test with Bonferroni correction. Using a two-class unpaired significance analysis of microarrays (SAM; ref. 41), we also conducted a permutation-based, supervised analysis to find features with significant correlation to pCR by comparing pCR samples versus non-pCR samples using gene-level DNA copy number data.

Hierarchical clustering was performed using centroid linkage implemented in software Gene Cluster 3.0 (42), and the clustering result was viewed with Java Treeview v1.1.5r2 (43) to identify patterns among the features selected in the Elastic Net models. We investigated the significance of gene signatures with unknown roles using the ‘Investigate Gene Sets’ method of GSEA (http://software.broadinstitute.org/gsea/msigdb/annotate.jsp; ref. 22), and also investigated gene signature scores according to PAM50 subtype among 1,100 breast cancer patients from TCGA (17). ROC/AUC curves were compared using R package pROC (44). DNA copy number frequency landscapes were generated using Switchplus (29), which can identify segments with CNAs specific for a user-determined set of tumors, in this case, samples with pCR versus non-pCR. Thus Switchplus provides a supervised method for analysing and visualizing copy number data. Switchplus is provided as a source script in R and available for download at: https://genome.unc.edu/SWITCHplus/.

Results

Cohort characteristics and genomic datasets

On CALGB 40601 specimens, we performed mRNASeq and DNA exomes (N = 137, Fig. 1A). We compared nonsilent mutation frequencies between HER2-positive breast cancer in TCGA (N = 143) and tumors in CALGB 40601 (N = 137). Although PIK3CA and CDH1 mutation were more frequent in TCGA HER2+, there were no differences in the list of somatically mutated genes between these two studies after Bonferroni correction (Supplementary Fig. S2A). We also confirmed the similarity between TCGA HER2+ and CALGB 40601 by comparing their DNA copy number frequency landscapes (Supplementary Fig. S2B), and again the results showed very high similarity. Among 10,816 non-silent mutations found through exome sequencing of 137 CALGB 40601 tumors,
5,106 mutations (47.2%) were detected in the mRNAseq of the corresponding tumors by UNCeqR (26). This frequency is comparable to the 51% frequency seen when performing the same analysis using 871 TCGA lung and breast cancer samples (26).

Among the 137 samples studied on the genomic level in CALGB 40601, clinical estrogen receptor (ER) or progesterone receptor (PgR) status and intrinsic subtype by PAM50 were associated with pCR (Fig. 1B). Supplementary Table S3 shows the list of somatically mutated genes with a frequency of >4%, and where only eight genes occurred in ≥10 patients (≥7%), and only TP53 mutation status was associated with pCR after Bonferroni correction (Supplementary Table S3). Interestingly, there were four patients with HER2 somatic mutations, including two with a variant allele frequency of greater than 10%; these two included a V777L variant, and a L755S variant. The V777L variant is predicted to be activating and sensitive to lapatinib (45); this patient was in the THL arm and achieved a pCR. The L755S variant is predicted to be transforming, but insensitive to lapatinib; this patient was in the THL arm and had residual disease after therapy. As a resource, multiple individual data type supervised analyses were performed on the 40601 training data set and present as Supplementary Table S4; these include results of the supervised analyses using individual gene expression values, gene signatures, DNA segment-level copy number, or gene-level copy number data. Likewise, The supervised results on CALGB 40601 validation set using gene-expression and gene signatures are listed on Supplementary Table S5.

Table 1. Elastic Net features selected using the gene signatures only model from CALGB 40601

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>References</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GS_HS_Green18</td>
<td>PMID.2124954</td>
<td>0.0753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_HS_Red9</td>
<td>PMID.2124954</td>
<td>0.04255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_Duke_Module7_PgR</td>
<td>PMID.2035537</td>
<td>0.03614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_JANES_Oscillation_ILUND_KRT5</td>
<td>PMID.2465865</td>
<td>0.03246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_MITO1</td>
<td>PMID.2124954</td>
<td>0.02518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_Scorr_LumA_Correlation</td>
<td>PMID.19204204</td>
<td>0.01563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_MM_Green12</td>
<td>PMID.2124954</td>
<td>0.01218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_MUnknown_16</td>
<td>PMID.2124954</td>
<td>0.01085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_HS_Green1</td>
<td>PMID.2124954</td>
<td>0.00953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_Duke_Module4_p53</td>
<td>PMID.2035537</td>
<td>0.00605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_Scorr_P53_Wt_Correlation</td>
<td>PMID.1715001</td>
<td>0.00386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_Pcorr_NKI70_Good_Correlation</td>
<td>PMID.11823860</td>
<td>0.00307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_Lim2009_MatureLuminal</td>
<td>PMID.25375446</td>
<td>0.00014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_Chromogranin</td>
<td>PMID.2124954</td>
<td>0.00022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_Uknown_12</td>
<td>PMID.2124954</td>
<td>5.94E–05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_Duke_Module07_glucosedepletion</td>
<td>PMID.2035537</td>
<td>0.002937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_GSEA_RB_PATHWAY_BIOCARTA</td>
<td><a href="http://www.broadinstitute.org/gsea/msigdb/cards">http://www.broadinstitute.org/gsea/msigdb/cards</a></td>
<td>0.00382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_Scorr_P53_Mut_Correlation</td>
<td>PMID.1715001</td>
<td>0.00178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_HER2_Amplicon</td>
<td>PMID.2124954</td>
<td>0.007577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_ROR_S_Model</td>
<td>PMID.19204204</td>
<td>0.012094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_IGG_Cluster</td>
<td>PMID.2124954</td>
<td>0.014302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_HS_Red2</td>
<td>PMID.2124954</td>
<td>0.018906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_GHS_ReModel</td>
<td>PMID.15591335</td>
<td>0.028529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_Duke_Module08_her2</td>
<td>PMID.2035537</td>
<td>0.028529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_Bcells_Plasmablast</td>
<td>PMID.25800755</td>
<td>0.034936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_S100A9_A8</td>
<td>PMID.2124954</td>
<td>0.039593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_XRTdudesgenes</td>
<td>PMID.24527691</td>
<td>0.043993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GS_Scorr_Her2_Correlation</td>
<td>PMID.19204204</td>
<td>0.044145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Using Elastic Net feature selection, features that made the logistic regression model are shown in this table. All the selected features are weighted and show nonzero coefficient values where positive coefficients predict pCR and negative coefficients predict non-pCR. Abbreviation: GS, gene signature; HS, homo sapiens; MM, mammary model; PgR, progesterone receptor; Wt, wild type; Mut, mutation.
Elastic Net analysis using multidimensional data

We hypothesized the comprehensive integration of DNA copy number aberrations and mutations, added to gene expression and clinical data, would further improve predictive ability for response to trastuzumab-based therapy. The integrated Elastic Net multidimensional modeling assessed 528 DNA copy number segment values using 536 predefined chromosomal segments, eight genes with somatic mutations in ≥10 patients, and the 517 gene expression signatures. Clinical ER and PgR status were included given consistent associations with pCR in HER2-positive breast cancer trials (6, 7). Fig. 3A shows the average AUC values for each unique set of input variables in 10 rounds of repeated Elastic Net analysis (details in Supplementary Table S7). The model derived from the combination of gene signatures and CNAs yielded an AUC of 0.76, which was significantly higher than those from each individual data type alone (P < 0.05). Gene signatures and CNAs were further combined with either mutation and/or clinical ER/PgR status, but the AUC values did not change significantly. The integrated Elastic Net models largely overlapped the signatures identified in the gene signatures-only model (Table 1). We finally selected the combination of gene signatures, CNAs, mutation, and clinical ER/PgR status with the average AUC of 0.75 as the model to most fully explore, because of its objective integration of multiple data types, including TP53.

Figure 2.
Performance of the Elastic Net model for pCR prediction using gene signatures on CALGB 40601. A, AUC from the ROC curve analysis were estimated for Elastic Net models using gene signatures alone in CALGB 40601. Left, CALGB 40601 as the training set (N = 137), AUC = 0.80. Right, All test sets combined (CHERLOB + XENA + I-SPY + CALGB 40601 validation set, N = 143, AUC = 0.76). Sensitivity and specificity values were selected using Youden's cutpoint where the sum of sensitivity and specificity is maximal. Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test was conducted to calculate P values. B, Barplots showing results of the Elastic Net model score split into three rank order groups and then comparing pCR rates for patients in CALGB 40601, or all test sets combined. ANOVA T-test was conducted to calculate P values by comparing signature scores across all three groups.

tertile (Fig. 2, details in Supplementary Fig. S4; Supplementary Table S6).

Elastic Net analysis using multidimensional data

We hypothesized the comprehensive integration of DNA copy number aberrations and mutations, added to gene expression and clinical data, would further improve predictive ability for response to trastuzumab-based therapy. The integrated Elastic Net multidimensional modeling assessed 528 DNA copy number segment values using 536 predefined chromosomal segments, eight genes with somatic mutations in ≥10 patients, and the 517 gene expression signatures. Clinical ER and PgR status were included given consistent associations with pCR in HER2-positive breast cancer trials (6, 7). Fig. 3A shows the average AUC values for each unique set of input variables in 10 rounds of repeated Elastic Net analysis (details in Supplementary Table S7). The model derived from the combination of gene signatures and CNAs yielded an AUC of 0.76, which was significantly higher than those from each individual data type alone (P < 0.05). Gene signatures and CNAs were further combined with either mutation and/or clinical ER/PgR status, but the AUC values did not change significantly. The integrated Elastic Net models largely overlapped the signatures identified in the gene signatures-only model (Table 1). We finally selected the combination of gene signatures, CNAs, mutation, and clinical ER/PgR status with the average AUC of 0.75 as the model to most fully explore, because of its objective integration of multiple data types, including TP53.
Figure 3.
Elastic Net analysis using multidimensional data. A, Average AUC scores for various individual data type, or combined data type predictors, using test sets through 10 repeated Elastic Net analyses. Each bar shows the average AUC scores with 95% confidence intervals. B, Frequently selected Elastic Net features coming from a multidimensional predictor. Features contributing to at least 6 out of 10 Elastic Net models using gene signatures, CNAs, mutations, and clinical ER/PgR status. GS, gene signature; CN, copy number; Mut, mutation; Gray and black bars indicate predictors which positively (37) and negatively (53) predict pCR; thus, gray predictors are high in pCR samples and black predictors are high in non-pCR samples. Yellow arrows indicate CNAs features at Chromosome 6p; green arrows indicate TP53 mutation status or signatures; pink arrows indicate HER2-enriched signatures; a gray arrow indicates 21-gene recurrence score; black arrows indicate immune signatures; blue arrows indicate Clinical ER status, luminal signatures, and PgR gene signature.
mutation (25), and ER status (7), which have been previously reported as pCR predictors in patients receiving trastuzumab-based regimens. Using serial rounds of training and testing, we identified 33 features that contributed to ≥6 out of the 10 rounds of Elastic Net models using this combination of many distinct feature types (Fig. 3B, all features in Supplementary Table S8). Included within the most frequently selected positive predictors in the integrated analysis were CNAs at chromosome (Chr.) 6p, \(TP53\) mutation status, the signatures of correlation to HER2-Enriched, 21-gene recurrence score (46), and two immune signatures of B cells. However, clinical ER status, the signature of correlation to luminal A and of PgR-activity remained as negative predictors.

We further conducted supervised clustering of the 33 features among the 137 samples (Fig. 4). The features were clustered into two dendrogram nodes according to positive or negative predictors of pCR. The positive features were further aggregated by DNA copy number segments at 6p and 22q, and a grouping of the recurrence predictors, \(TP53\) mutation status, and HER2 signatures. Estrogen-related features as negative predictors including clinical ER status, PgR signature and Correlation to Luminal A, were also clustered together. The samples were next ordered by their average scores derived from the 10 rounds of Elastic Net modeling. The model scores were highly correlated with pCR (logistic regression odds ratio, 1.6; \(P < 0.001\)). When samples were trichotomized into top, middle, or lower tertile groups of the model scores, pCR rates were 93.4%, 44.4%, and 6.5%, respectively.

Survival analysis

The Elastic Net models using gene signatures with/without mutation (i.e., \(TP53\)) plus ER/PgR from CALGB 40601 (Table 1; Supplementary Table S9) were applied onto 124 HER2-positive tumors from the METABRIC (38) dataset who did not receive any chemotherapy or trastuzumab, and onto 92 HER2-positive tumors from METABRIC (38) who received chemotherapy but no trastuzumab from the pretrastuzumab era. Neither of these two models was prognostic (Supplementary Fig. S5A and S5B) suggesting that these models largely reflect prediction of response to HER2 targeting.

DawnRank analysis

We next ran DawnRank (39), a computational method that uses RNA expression to populate known protein–protein networks, to identify those genetic alterations that alter these networks the most. Using the protein–protein networks comprising 8,248 genes and aggregating the individual patient results based upon those with a pCR and those without a pCR (Supplementary Table S10), we sought to identify the genetic drivers of response and resistance. \(HER2\) and \(TP53\), which are regarded as major genetic drivers in breast cancer, were ranked as No. 1 or 2 in both pCR and non-pCR samples, supporting the robustness of the analysis, and the importance of \(TP53\) regardless of treatment and response. Next, we extracted the top 1% of the genes from these rankings, then further ranked these genes according to the extent of rank change between pCR and non-pCR samples to identify those genes that qualify as drivers differentially present in either responsive (pCR) or resistant (non-pCR) tumors (Supplementary Table S11).

Among pCR samples, amplified Chr.6p genes were highly ranked compared with non-pCR samples, while deleted Chr.11q genes were highly ranked in non-pCR samples compared with pCR samples.

Identification of gene-level CNAs as candidate biomarkers of trastuzumab resistance/sensitivity

By comparing the DNA copy number landscape plots of pCR versus non-pCR samples (Fig. 5A), we found that gain
of Chr.6p12-21 were more frequent in pCR samples, whereas loss of Chr.22q11-13 were more frequent in non-pCR samples. We next performed computational analysis to find common drivers between (i) copy number altered genes in segments contributing to ≥6 of the 10 Elastic Net models, (ii) the top 1% copy number-altered genes from the DawnRank analysis, and (iii) copy number genes with FDR ≤1% from SAM analysis (Supplementary Table S4). Only MAPK14 and CDKN1A at Chr.6p were identified in all three analyses (Fig. 5B, overlapped genes are listed in Supplementary Table S12). This small amount of overlap between the DawnRank results and other analyses may be because DawnRank is limited to the 8,000 genes in the protein–protein interaction network, or other unknown reasons. Further only MAPK14 had a Pearson correlation ≥0.3 between RNA gene expression and DNA copy number values (MAPK14, 0.38 and CDKN1A, –0.08). Therefore, amplification of wild-type MAPK14 (also known as p38), may play a direct role in sensitivity to trastuzumab-paclitaxel-based regimens, but experimental validation is needed.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this study represents one of the first multidimensional genomic analyses to integrate DNA mutations, DNA copy number aberrations, and RNA transcriptional expression with clinical variables using prospectively collected frozen tissue samples from a phase III trial to predict the primary endpoint of the parent trial, pCR. The importance of this approach was suggested at the time we published the primary multivariable analysis of CALGB 40601, in which we found that treatment arm was associated with pCR, but also that gene signatures representing tumor and microenvironmental influences, such as intrinsic subtype and signatures representing activated B cells, each independently and significantly contributed to pCR, regardless of treatment arm; similar results were found in NeoALTTO, but integrated models were not developed.6,7 We found that the most highly correlated negative predictive variables included signatures of the luminal subtypes (7, 48), which have been consistently reported as negative pCR predictors and were again in this multi-signature
model, whereas the HER2-enriched subtype (7, 48) and activated immune signatures (7) were positive predictors here and in other neoadjuvant trials. These commonalities across studies support the robustness of our results. The predictive model based on gene signatures alone achieved good AUC values of 0.76 (0.71–0.83) in the four validation datasets. In actual performance, the low model score tumors had a pCR rate of 13%, and given the association of residual disease with poor outcome, these are tumors that may need additional therapies to achieve higher pCR rates and better outcomes. Conversely, the group with high model scores showed a high response rate of 65%, suggesting that most of these tumors may be receiving adequate treatment with trastuzumab and paclitaxel (Fig. 2). Because all 157 patients used for this analysis received at least paclitaxel plus trastuzumab, it is difficult to separate our predictive features for responsiveness to either paclitaxel or trastuzumab. However, this combination is part of standard neoadjuvant chemotherapy regimens for stage II to III HER2-positive breast cancer and is an accepted and low toxicity regimen known to provide distant-disease free survival in excess of 98% for stage I HER2-positive breast cancer (49). It is worth noting that in the one prognostic dataset available, the Elastic Net models were not prognostic in either HER2-positive patients who did not receive chemotherapy nor those who received chemotherapy without trastuzumab. These results suggest that our Elastic Net predictors are not prognostic but truly predictive of drug response. An algorithm integrating relevant genomic predictors, with clinical features, may therefore allow us to safely de-escalate therapy in appropriate patients, just as we do with hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative patients by using commercial genomic assays.

Much of precision medicine is founded on linking somatic mutations to targeted treatments, however, we found only 8 genes mutated in ≥10/137 patients; we did find two high VAF HER2 mutants, where one achieved a pCR and the other did not, thus foreshadowing the complexities of predicting response in single target based ”basket studies” (50). These overall mutation results are comparable to those from a similar neoadjuvant study (14) in which only PIK3CA mutation was associated with lower pCR rates. In our study, only TP53 gene mutation was associated with higher pCR rates with support from two p53 mutation signatures also selected in the Elastic Net approach. Additional encouraging data arose from copy number evaluations; both the Elastic Net and DawnRank analyses made use of the multidimensional genomic data and found gain of Chr.6p as a key determinant of sensitivity to trastuzumab-based regimens. Further analysis on the gene-level copy number basis identified amplification of MAPK14 at Chr.6p as being linked to a high likelihood of pCR (Fig. 5). The p38 MAPK pathway is activated upon cellular stress and engages pathways that can promote apoptosis (51). Activation of p38 MAPK pathway impaired mammary carcinogenesis in a HER2-positive mouse model (52). Therefore, we hypothesized that trastuzumab–paclitaxel regimens cause stress and that MAPK14 amplification may lead cancer cells to undergo apoptosis and is a potential response biomarker for trastuzumab–paclitaxel containing regimens. We also take note of human leucocyte antigen (HLA) genes because Chr.6p contains all the HLA genes and both the Elastic Net and SAM analysis contained 11 HLA genes (Supplementary Table S12). Thus, amplification of HLA genes may be involved in the immune response.

The strengths of our analyses were that these studies were performed on prospectively collected frozen tissue samples from a randomized Phase III trial with pCR as the primary endpoint. The Elastic Net gene signature-only model was tested on four different independent validation datasets, and the model predicted pCR with good accuracy on all four. Two types of integrated genomic analyses, Elastic Net and DawnRank, were performed to make use of the multidimensional genomic data, with similar results obtained from each. The weaknesses of our approach were that we lacked an independent validation set for the integrated RNA and DNA Elastic Net predictor, although we did test our methods using 10 rounds of Monte-Carlo training and testing within CALGB 40601 data, and we report these values, that our sample size was relatively small, and that we cannot address the holy grail of anti-HER2 regimens without chemotherapy (although the absence of correlation with outcome in chemotherapy-only treated independent datasets suggests that our findings reflect the HER2-targeted element): we would need to test these models in all-biologic HER2-based regimens.

Collectively, tumor genetics (mutations, CNAs), tumor mRNA subtype (HER2-enriched, Luminal), and the microenvironment (B-cell features) were independently predictive of response to trastuzumab–paclitaxel containing therapies for HER2-overexpressing breast cancer. Elastic Net analysis represents a promising means of developing predictors of pCR for clinical application in part due to its objective ability to select from amongst multiple data types. Additional studies are needed to fully evaluate these multiplatform predictors, but it is clear that integrating all the relevant data types together can improve our predictive abilities and may contribute to rational tailoring approaches for the treatment of HER2-positive breast cancers.
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