Challenges and Gaps in Clinical Trial Genomic Data Management
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It is now a commonplace to propose high-dimensional molecular characterization within the translational research objectives of contemporary clinical trials. Similarly, most of these methods are being applied to archived samples from completed clinical trials. The rationale is well understood—comprehensive molecular profiling should accelerate our goal of precision cancer medicine, especially when applied to the randomized clinical trials that incorporate current and emerging effective treatments. However, present barriers impede researchers from unlocking the full potential of these data sets and trials, and it is critical to solve these challenges. Currently, omics data generated from trials are largely decentralized: data are housed at a variety of sites, analyses take place locally, and other researchers do not have access until public deposition of data on repositories such as the database of Genotypes and Phenotypes (dbGaP) and National Cancer Institute’s (NCI) Genomic Data Commons (GDC) at publication—often years after generation—and then potentially without adequate clinical annotation to correlate omics features with clinical outcomes. Furthermore, analyses vary widely in bioinformatics methods, including choice of tools, dependencies, file formats, parameterizations, data quality filtering thresholds, and other workflow elements, which makes integration across groups challenging.

Despite great strides toward improving clinical data acquisition (eg, iCARE initiative) and storage (eg, NCI National Clinical Trials Network [NCTN] Data Archive), the value of accumulated clinical data is yet to be fully unlocked. Historically, each clinical trial team defines their own trial vocabulary and designates their own data elements using an array of templates. Recent efforts such as the Clinical Data Interchange Standards Consortium (CDISC) standards for clinical trials elements are evidence that this paradigm is changing. Nevertheless, the lack of more standardized nomenclature hinders research and clinically important studies that require data aggregation and integration across trials in the domains of clinical data, biospecimen data, and statistical/omics meta-analyses. Currently, there is not a simple strategy for viewing the data landscape of all completed trials at a granular patient level in a single location. To enable complete data transparency, a technological solution must be explored for investigators not only to readily view information across trials but also to readily share data using the same vocabulary to facilitate analyses and interpretations. There is a critical need for both a unified vocabulary and a data clearinghouse that facilitates the harmonization of both prospective and retrospective clinical trials data. Such a system would synchronize data activities across clinical trials, facilitate the rapid advancement of correlative analyses, and allow future analysis of studies, within and across tumor type.

To address this within the NCI’s NCTN framework, we have established the Alliance Standardized Translational Omics Resource (A-STOR) through planning by the Alliance Translational Research Program (TRP) and the Alliance Statistical and Data Management Center (SDMC) to develop a solution for this rate-limiting step. A-STOR is a single shared living repository for multimics data and associated clinical data designed to facilitate rapid omics analyses and meta-analyses within and across studies.

The Challenge of Decentralization of Clinical Trial Omics Data

Two of the major gaps in clinicogenomic research that A-STOR aims to fill are (1) the decentralized nature of clinical trial omics data and (2) competing priorities of study teams, biostatisticians, correlative researchers, and data repositories. Data are owned by an individual study until publications and thus are siloed for years. Study teams aim to protect their own rights to the data, including first publication, without hindrance from other investigators. In an era of rapid advancement, this makes the data potentially less relevant in contemporary clinical contexts at the time they become available. Data repositories aim to accelerate data accessibility, but maintain extensive formatting guidelines for submission, and each repository has distinct content and requirements. For example,

- dbGaP: Database of Genotypes and Phenotypes, maintained by the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). Primarily raw sequencing data with study-specific metadata, governed by complex data deposition requirements. Typically, deposit and public accessibility only occurs with publication of translational manuscripts.
**A-STOR: Principles**

A-STOR will accelerate, diversify, and coordinate a portfolio of analyses within and across clinical trials, including and not competing against the clinical trials’ correlative science investigators. Specifically, A-STOR will provide a genomic data repository and standardized computational processing of sequencing data after primary clinical endpoint reporting, in conjunction with each clinical trial’s correlative science investigators. This initiative will provide stable, secure, scalable storage for multomic data; accessibility to approved investigators including controlled access in the prepublication window; rapid advancement of correlative analyses to enhance clinical trial design, grants, and publications; ability to perform rapid meta-analyses; seamless integration with existing data structures such as NCI GDC and dbGaP; and potential future growth, including increased computational analysis potential, integration with external validation data sets, and informative data visualization to speed the development of promising biomarkers. The overall structure of A-STOR focuses on developing a flexible, accessible system that can work with existing resources and within standing frameworks (Fig 1A).

**A-STOR Logistics**

**Study initiation and data deposition.** First, the Alliance Study PI initiates a plan to sequence samples from an Alliance or Alliance Foundation Clinical Trial. The A-STOR Project Manager works with the study PI to create a trial-specific data bucket, confirms appropriate consent language, and coordinates upload of basic clinical metadata. Upon sequencing, raw or aligned sequence data files are deposited in A-STOR with initial access to the study PI only. No data processing or harmonization is needed at this point. The lead A-STOR bioinformatician coordinates data capture and ensures consistency and data integrity.

**Data analyses.** The Alliance Study PI provides A-STOR sequence data access to the study statistician(s) and computational biologist(s) for primary, study-specified analyses of the sequencing data. Concurrent with the primary analysis, the study PI may approve access to additional research team(s), who will be embargoed for presentation or publication until the primary study/end point presentation. This structure ensures that multiple analyses can be completed in parallel, rather than sequentially. The A-STOR project manager and bioinformatician will maintain access control throughout the data lifecycle.

**Preparation for public data deposition.** Upon completion and anticipated publication of the primary study end point(s), the study PI prepares necessary metadata for a NCI GDC/dbGaP submission. The A-STOR bioinformatician transfers sequencing data, facilitates provenance, and facilitates timely omics data release (NCTN archive/GDC) per mandates.

**Presentation/publication of secondary analyses.** After the first publication and approval by the study PI, parallel secondary or meta-analyses of the sequencing data via A-STOR may be presented and/or published. Processed data from the primary analysis and/or secondary analysis or meta-analyses, such as transcript abundance for RNA sequencing with clearly documented processing information, may also be uploaded and will be linked to the clinical metadata for that trial by the A-STOR bioinformatician.

**A-STOR Omics Data Harmonization and Visualization**

One major aim of A-STOR is harmonization of Alliance genomic data through standardized pipelines. A-STOR will implement standardized analytical pipelines, including alignment for DNA sequencing, transcript abundance for RNA sequencing, and circulating tumor DNA. All pipelines are maintained to ensure best practices with current scientific standards, versioned pipelines, systematized testing, and data provenance automatically logged throughout workflows. All elements of the workflows will be transparent with all tool, parameterization, and filtering choices documented on the project wiki. Containerized versions of the complete workflows will be made available for other researchers to explore, test, and analyze additional data using the A-STOR workflows. Stable, versioned pipelines will provide A-STOR users with uniform results, extending the reliability and accuracy of data.

Another major aim of A-STOR is to develop a data visualization tool for interaction by noninformaticians. As a proof...
of concept, A-STOR has leveraged cBioPortal as an interactive dashboard for users to interact with the clinical and genomic data from initial pilot studies. Currently, users can link phenotypic traits to the frequency of variants in a given gene within the study cohort. Furthermore, users can designate subpopulations of the cohort to analyze. RNA expression and DNA variation data are able to be explored in this manner. Future plans include incorporating all available Alliance studies into this platform, which will give investigators an in-depth and quick way to view genomic patterns among aggregated data with more samples and minimal analysis. The user-friendly cBioPortal interactive dashboard offers an avenue for noninformatician oncology practitioners and researchers to interact with clinical trial data.
omic data, currently restricted to approved Alliance investigators.

**Case Study: Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy for Triple-Negative Breast Cancers**

Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) is an aggressive subtype of breast cancer that demonstrates greater chemosensitivity relative to hormone receptor–positive breast cancers but, paradoxically, has worse outcomes. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NAC) provides a window through which to evaluate the intrinsic chemosensitivity of breast cancer, as patients receive no treatments before NAC and there is a discrete end point—pathologic complete response (pCR) reflecting chemosensitivity or residual disease reflecting some level of chemoresistance. Patients with TNBC who do not achieve pCR to NAC have a very poor prognosis, with a 5-year overall survival of approximately 50% in a large meta-analysis. With this high risk of recurrence for patients with residual disease after NAC, there is interest in evaluating whether the addition of other agents, such as platinum chemotherapy, poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitors, or immune checkpoint inhibitors, can improve pCR rates and long-term outcomes. Among these were two phase III studies completed within Alliance: CALGB 40603, which evaluated the addition of carboplatin with or without bevacizumab, and BrighTNess, which evaluated the addition of carboplatin with or without poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor veliparib. Each of these studies completed RNA sequencing of hundreds of primary tumors before NAC, 295 samples from CALGB 40603 and 482 samples from BrighTNess, and there is great interest in determining underlying mechanisms of response and resistance to NAC in TNBC, including expression subtypes, expression-based markers of proliferation, immune expression signatures, and BRCAness biomarkers.

Although these two studies reported their primary clinical end points many years before (CALGB 40603 in 2013 and BrighTNess in 2017), the deposition of these genomic data into publicly available repositories was delayed for both until 2021 (Fig 1B). This lag offered an opportunity to initiate translational omics analyses pending final public data deposition. To that end, starting in 2019, RNA sequencing data from these two studies were deposited into the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA)–compliant, encrypted A-STOR repository. In parallel and concurrent with the primary analyses by the study teams, the RNA sequencing data underwent harmonized processing to transcript abundance to facilitate meta-analyses. The analyses to determine outcome-agnostic features, such as the calculation of published signatures, were initiated in advance of final clinical data deposition. Upon public release of clinical end points, data analyses depending upon outcomes were initiated. In summary, despite lag of public data deposition of RNA sequencing data for these two phase III studies, the A-STOR approach accelerated harmonized transcriptome meta-analyses by at least a year—a time frame that is relevant to patients and the field.

**Future Growth Opportunities**

The immediate term goal of A-STOR is to provide a stable, efficient, and maintainable platform for data sharing for investigators performing discovery science and biomarker development via clinical trial samples. This in itself would be valuable, as it would give investigators earlier access to relevant data and speed discovery, provide opportunities for generating robust preliminary data for funding applications, and improve communication and collaboration among investigators and research teams. The intermediate term plan is to build out streamlined analytics capacity on the platform, where data can be interactively explored and basic biostatistical analyses are performed by investigators without computational experience using a web-based graphical user interface (under control of a strong and HIPAA-compliant authentication and authorization system). To support broad data access and usability, A-STOR does not have a cost to researchers. The development of A-STOR was funded through a grant from the Alliance Foundation, and in the future, our goal is to demonstrate value sufficient to warrant support through data storage contracts/subcontracts and independent and collaborative research grants.

The long-term vision is to integrate advanced analytics including machine learning, cross-platform feature integration, and automated workflows to optimize biomarker discovery and validation. This data repository will operate in conjunction with established Alliance resources including the Biospecimen Repository and Statistical Data Center with the goal of establishing a real-time dashboard to track specimens for ongoing clinical trials, emerging Alliance resources including digital pathology, observational genomic cohorts (such as The Cancer Genome Atlas and METABRIC), and broader resources such as NCI GDC and dbGaP. In addition to these opportunities, there is an opportunity to expand data hosting to other high-dimensional data types, such as single-cell sequencing data, digital pathology, and spatial pathology including high-dimensional proteomics such as cytometry by time of flight (CyTOF), cyclic/highly multiplexed immunofluorescence, or spatial genomics. Finally, A-STOR provides a framework and, in fact, infrastructure for other cooperative groups in oncology, collectively, independently, or through partnership with the NCI or other overarching organization(s).

In conclusion, A-STOR will accelerate discovery through data harmonization and accessibility while maintaining rigor, transparency, and provenance. Investigators will have prepublication accessibility through controlled access, thus protecting rights to the data. Finally, A-STOR ingestion of postprimary clinical analyses via standardized and validated bioinformatics pipelines will lead to improved reproducibility of results with quicker data access.
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