Combination therapies with CDK4/6 inhibitors to treat KRAS-mutant pancreatic cancer



Craig M.Goodwin¹, Sehrish Javaid², Andrew M. Waters¹, Bjoern Papke¹, Runying Yang¹, Mariaelena Pierobon⁶, Adrienne D. Cox^{1,2,3,4}, Kris C. Wood⁸, Emanuel F. Petricoin III⁶, Autumn J. McRee⁵ and Channing J. Der^{1,4}

¹Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center, ²Oral & Craniofacial Biomedicine, ³Department of Radiation Oncology, ⁴Department of Radiation Oncology, ⁴Department of Radiation Oncology, ⁴Department of Pharmacology, ⁵Department of Radiation Oncology, ⁴Department of Radiation Oncology, ⁴Department of Radiation Oncology, ⁴Department of Pharmacology, ⁵Department of Radiation Oncology, ⁴Department of R Proteomics and Molecular Medicine, George Mason University, Fairfax, VA, USA; ⁸Department of Pharmacology and Cancer Biology, Duke University, Durham, NC 27710

Abstract



Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) patients have a dismal five-year survival rate in the advanced metastatic setting; therefore, the development of targeted therapies is a significant unmet clinical need. The two most frequent genetic events in PDAC (mutational activation of KRAS and loss of the tumor suppressor CDKN2A) converge on activation of the kinases CDK4 and CDK6, which promote G1 cell cycle progression (A). We found that CDK4/6 inhibitors (CDK4/6i), which are pharmacologic mimics of p16^{INK4A} function, elicited single-agent activity in a subset of KRAS-mutant PDAC cell lines. However, applying Reverse Phase Protein Array (RPPA)-based pathway activation mapping analyses, we observed widespread CDK4/6i-induced compensatory signaling activity/expression changes leading to increased ERK-MAPK signaling. Concurrent treatment with the ERK1/2 inhibitor (ERKi) SCH772984 reversed this phenotype, synergistically reduced cell growth, and increased both apoptosis and G1 arrest in PDX cell lines and organoid models by a well-defined mechanism

Next, we used a CRISPR/Cas9 druggable genome library loss-of-function screen to identify genes that modulated sensitivity to CDK4/6i. We identified a functionally diverse array of genes that enhanced growth suppression in combination with CDK4/6i, centered around distinct signaling nodes including cell cycle regulation and mitosis, PI3K-AKT-mTOR signaling, SRC family kinase signaling, cell metabolism and biosynthesis, and DNA damage and repair pathways, suggesting ways to overcome de novo or acquired CDK4/6 inhibitor resistance in the clinic. Identified synergistic combinations were validated using siRNA and small-molecule inhibitor-based approaches. Our observations suggest that CDK4/6 inhibitors alone, or in novel combinations, may benefit PDAC patients clinically.

PDAC cells resist CDK4/6 inhibition by activation ERK-MAPK, PI3K, and anti-apoptotic pathw



Figure 1: (A) EC₅₀ for CDK4/6i (palbociclib) for PDAC cell lines in cell culture. (B) Reverse-phase protein array (RPPA) for palbociclib. Indicated proteins increase (red) or decrease (blue) expression with palbociclib compared to control. (C) Mean and distribution of indicated proteins and signaling pathways from (B). Largest compensatory change is increased activating phosphorylation of ERK.



Figure 2 (A) Combined CDK4/6i (palbociclib) with ERKi (SCH772984) at indicated concentrations causes a strong loss of cell proliferation over 5 days. (B) BLISS synergy calculated from (A) mapped over the viability dose-response curve. Red = synergy, blue = antagonism. (C) Peak synergy scores for CDK4/6i + ERKi across a PDAC cell line panel. (D) Effect of CDK4/6i + ERKi on PDAC organoids ht2 (pictured). This combination is synergistic across a full dose-response matrix. Similar results were obtained from 7 additional PDAC organoids (data not shown).

ating vays		
	1	
		Src Y527
		Bd-2
		ERK T202_Y204
		PKC zeta_lambda T410_403
		p70S6 T389
		AKT S473
		Rb S780
		LKB1 S334
		4E-BP1 S65
		Aurora A T288_B T232_C T198
		Histone H3 S28
		eNOS_NOS III S116
		BIM
		Caveolin-1 Y14
		PARP Cleaved D214

	p38 MAPK T180_Y182
	Jak1 Y1022_1023
	AMPK alpha1 S485
	Smad2 S245_250_255
	S6 Ribosomal Protein S235_236
	KI67
	FOXM1 T600
	Histone H3 S10
	FADD S194
	AR S81
Pa14C	



Figure 3 (A) CDK4/6i + ERKi induce apoptosis (FITC-Annexin V/PI staining, flow cytometry, MIA PaCa-2 cells exemplified). (B) Quantified apoptosis for drugs alone or in combination across multiple PDAC lines. (C) RPPA for CDK4/6i, ERKi, or the combination after 1, 3, 5, or 14 day continuous treatment. The median protein fold change (red=up, blue=down) is shown from six PDAC cell lines. (D) Log2 fold-change for RB (S780) phosphorylation after 14 days treatment. (E-F) Log2 fold-change over the 14 day timecourse for palbociclib, SCH772984, or the combination for RSK (S380 phosphorylation, E) and MYC (F).



on the highest median logP value across all cell lines.



Figure 5 (A) Indicated gene hits from the CRISPR screen were individually silenced using siRNA, then treated with palbociclib. Shown is the fold-shift in the EC50 of palbociclib compared to cells receiving non-specific siRNA (red = more sensitive, blue = less sensitive). (B) STRING interaction map for genes used in counter-screen. (C) Example of data used to generate (A), including BLISS score synergy calculations for each concentration (red = synergy), for indicated proteins.



Figure 6 (A) Indicated drugs were tested against the panel of PDAC lines in the CRISPR screen; on average, drugs were synergistic (red), antagonistic (blue), or additive (white); G12C inhibitor was only tested in KRAS G12C lines. (B) Viability dose-response curves for a combination CDK2/4/6 inhibitor (PF-06873600) with ERKi. This combination is mildly synergistic (not shown). (C) Bliss synergy scores for the CDK2/4/6i + ERKi combination in organoids. Shown is synergy mapped over the dose-response curves (red = synergism, blue = antagonism). (D) Apoptosis for DMSO, CDK4/6i, and CDK2/4/6i (annexin V/PI staining, flow cytometry, MIA PaCa-2 exemplified). (E) Quantification of (D) and two additional PDAC lines. (F) Average cell cycle distribution for six PDAC cell lines after treatments for 72 hours.

This research was financially supported by the NIH F32 Individual Postdoctoral Fellowship Award (1 F32 CA221005-01) and the UNC Lineberger Integrated Training in Cancer Model Systems (ITCMS) Training Grant.

